

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,541		12/14/2001	Chien-Tu Tseng	TSEN3034/EM	3273
23364	7590	02/12/2003			
BACON & 7			EXAMINER		
625 SLATER FOURTH FL	OOR			NAKARANI, I	HIRAJLAL S
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1773	7/
				DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			AS.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astice Occurrence	10/014,541	TSENG, CHIEN-TU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. S. Nakarani	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	988
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	66(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) N cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this comi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 E	<u> December 2001</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims			merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.			
7) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		J disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior action f</li></ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	age
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	

Art Unit: 1773

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2-4 depends from claim 1 which requires flexible polyester base film.

Therefore in claim 2, "base film is a polyvinyl chloride"; in claim 3, "base film is an aluminum foil or a tin metal foil" and in claim 5, "base film can be replaced by any other flexible chemical film, thin paper, thin metal foil or thin cloth" renders claims confusing and indefinite.

Claim 1, lines 12-14, the Markush group "selected from group consisting for ----  $ZrO_2$ " is improper. The proper Markush group is "selected from the group consisting of  $Al_2O_3$  --- and  $ZrO_2$ ".

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as

Art Unit: 1773

to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 1 and 3 recites the broad recitation polyester film and polyolefins film, respectively, and the claim also recites PET (i.e. polyethylene terephthalate) film and film such as polyethylene, polypropylene film etc. respectively which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

- 4. Applicant is requested to Note that the claims directed to a protective film having flexible base film of claims 2, 3, 4 and 5 will be restricted out. Also, claim 6 when directed to a protective film comprising a mixture of ceramic material and a base material of claims 1, 2, 3, or 4 will be restricted out.
- 5. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.
- 6. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent

Art Unit: 1773

claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- 8. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Jones (U.S. Patent 3,442,686).

Jones discloses a plastic packaging film comprising base polyethylene terephthalate film having thickness of 1 mil (i.e. 25.4 microns) (col. 3, line 36) coated with a coating comprising zirconium oxide at thickness of not more than 2 microns (col. 3, lines 14-16; Example 14, ZrO<sub>2</sub> coating thickness of 1.6 microns).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D.S. Nakarani whose telephone number is 703-308-2413. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J. Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Art Unit: 1773

Page 5

872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

D. S. NAKARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER

D. S. Nakarani/mn February 11, 2003